

## ICTNET at Session Track TREC2014

Yuanhai Xue<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Guoxin Cui<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Xiaoming Yu<sup>2</sup>, Yue Liu<sup>1,2</sup>, Xueqi Cheng<sup>1,2</sup>

1) Institute of Computing Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100190

2) Key Laboratory of Web Data Science and Technology, CAS, 100190

3) University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100190

{xueyuanhai, cuiguoxin, yuxiaoming}@software.ict.ac.cn; {liuyue, cxq}@ict.ac.cn

### 1 Introduction

In this paper, we describe our solutions of the Session Track at TREC 2014. Our main idea is to re-rank the documents the official supplies as RL1. In order to get good results of the re-ranked documents, we implement the learning to rank model which needs to extract some features. We use the relevance judgments of Session Track TREC 2013 as training set this year and also we use it as testing set by 5-fold cross-validation.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. We detail our models in section 2. Section 3 describes our experiments, including our evaluation results. Conclusions are made in the last session.

### 2 Our Approach

In our work, we use some methods to utilizing the session information to improve the re-ranking results. We use the official's original RL1 as baseline and our goal is to re-rank the RL1 by using learning to rank model which needs to extract some features from the documents and the sessions.

#### 2.1 Query Expansion(QE)

We use our method of query expansion in 2012<sup>[1]</sup>. A session may consist of several queries. The final expanded query consists of all the terms both in the historical queries and the current query. Let  $q_1$  to  $q_{m-1}$  stand for previous queries and  $q_m$  stands for the current query. Then  $Weight(w, q)$  stands for the weight of word  $w$  in the final query  $q$ . We can calculate it as followed:

$$Weight(w, q) = \exp(d \cdot \max_{w \in q_i} \{i\}).$$

The later the word appears in the session, the more important it is. We set the parameter  $d$  as 0.05. The QE feature of the document is obtained by calculating the Weighted-BM25 score between the document and the expanded query.

#### 2.2 Visual Document Model(VDM)

As we can get some documents in the session which may be relevant about the topic, then we can gather all the documents in the session and use the titles and contents of the documents to form a visual document. Then we use cosine similarity between the text formed by the current document's title and content and the visual document as VDM feature.

#### 2.3 User's Attention Time(UAT)

User's attention time is very important in judging if the document is relevant<sup>[2]</sup>. We know that in the session some documents are clicked by the users while most of others are not. For each document  $d$  which is not clicked, we can estimate its UAT feature by using all the clicked documents  $d_j$  in the session as followed:

$$UTA(d) = \sum_j (UAT(d_j) \cdot CosSim(d, d_j)).$$

#### 2.4 Learning to Rank

We use learning to rank model to incorporate the features extracted from documents and sessions. The SVMrank<sup>[3]</sup> is used to get a score for each candidate document and at last we re-ranking them depends on these scores.

Report Documentation Page			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188		
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE <b>NOV 2014</b>		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED <b>00-00-2014 to 00-00-2014</b>	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE <b>ICTNET at Session Track TREC2014</b>			5a. CONTRACT NUMBER		
			5b. GRANT NUMBER		
			5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S)			5d. PROJECT NUMBER		
			5e. TASK NUMBER		
			5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) <b>Chinese Academy of Sciences, Institute of Computing Technology, Beijing 100190,</b>			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)		
			11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)		
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT <b>Approved for public release; distribution unlimited</b>					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES <b>presented in the proceedings of the Twenty-Third Text REtrieval Conference (TREC 2014) held in Gaithersburg, Maryland, November 19-21, 2014. The conference was co-sponsored by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) and the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA).</b>					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT <b>Same as Report (SAR)</b>	18. NUMBER OF PAGES <b>3</b>	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT <b>unclassified</b>	b. ABSTRACT <b>unclassified</b>	c. THIS PAGE <b>unclassified</b>			

## 2.5 RL2 and RL3

For RL2, we use only the current session while for RL3, we use all the sessions which have the same topic as the current session. All the methods that extract features have the flexibility. So for a document, if we can calculate its feature score according to one session, we can calculate its feature score according to all the sessions that have the same topic with the current session. All the features we used are shown in Table 1.

**Table 1: Features used in SVMrank**

Feature	Feature description
PageRank	The page rank score of the document.
QE	The query expansion score of the document.
SVD	The score of the session visual document model.
UAT	The score of user attention time model.
BM25QC	The BM25 score of the document's content and query.
CosSimQT	The cosine similarity score between the document's title and the query.
Clicked	If the document is clicked in the session, the score is 1. Otherwise it is 0.

## 3 Experiments

We use the relevance judgments of Session Track TREC 2013 as training set. As the material is not large, we use five-fold cross-validation to get the  $nDCG@10$ .

### 3.1 Experiment Setup

In the process of re-ranking the documents in RL1, we remove the stop words of each document and we do stemming as well.

### 3.2 Runs

There are three runs named ICTNET14SER1, ICTNET14SER2 and ICTNET14SER3. Each run's features are shown in Table 2.

**Table 2: Used features of each RUN**

	ICTNET14SER1	ICTNET14SER2	ICTNET14SER3
RL2	PageRank, QE, SVD, UAT, BM25QC, CosSimQT, Clicked	BM25QC, CosSimQT, SVD, QE	CosSimQT, SVD, QE, UAT
RL3	The same as above except that it use all the sessions that have the same topic with current session.	The same as above except that it use all the sessions that have the same topic with current session.	The same as above except that it use all the sessions that have the same topic with current session.

### 3.3 Results

Our finally results of  $nDCG@10$  are shown in Table 3.

**Table 3: Performance of Session track, TREC 2014**

	ICTNET14SER1	ICTNET14SER2	ICTNET14SER3
RL1	0.1890	0.1890	0.1890
RL2	0.2357	0.1976	0.2288
RL3	0.2431	0.2045	0.2356

## 4 Conclusions

In this paper, we presented several approaches to verify whether a retrieve system can use increasing amounts of information prior to a query to improve effectiveness for that query. Firstly, we extract some features from the documents and the sessions. Then the learning to rank model is used to re-rank the candidate documents of the session.

For the future work, we would like to try to incorporate more features into the learning to rank model, e.g. the order of the clicked document in the page. Feature selection and parameter optimization would also choose to get better performance.

## **5 Acknowledgements**

We would like to thank all organizers and assessors of TREC and NIST. This work is sponsored by 973 Program of China Grants *No.2013CB329602&No.2014CB340405*, 863 program of China Grants *No.2013AA01A213&No.2014AA015103*, NSF of China Grants *No. 61232010&No.61173008*, and by the National Key Technology R&D Program Grants *No.2012BAH39B02&No.2012BAH46B04*.

## **6 References**

- [1] ICTNET at Session Track TREC 2012
- [2] BUPT\_WILDCAT at TREC 2011 Session Track
- [3] Joachims, Thorsten. "Training linear SVMs in linear time." Proceedings of the 12th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining. ACM, 2006.